

§Appl. No. 10/049,442
Amdt. dated July 8, 2004
Reply to Office Action of, January 8, 2004

REMARKS

Applicant will make the suggested amendments to the specification in due course, e.g., as soon as subject matter is indicated as allowable.

Rejection under §112, second paragraph

The claims have been amended to address the rejections. These amendments do not change the scope of the claim as originally filed.

Rejection under §102(b) and §103


The cited references do not disclose or suggest a tablet comprising at least one genus of probiotic microorganisms, characterized in that the tablet and/or the probiotic microorganisms has/have at least one enteric coating comprising at least two layers. In order to establish obviousness, there must be both motivation and an expectation of success to have modified the prior art to arrive at the claimed invention. These are clearly lacking from the cited prior art. For example, there is no teaching to have produced a tablet comprising probiotic microorganisms and having an enteric coating comprising at least two layers. The publications relied upon in the Office action would not have led the skilled worker to the claimed invention.

In view of the above remarks, favorable reconsideration is courteously requested. If there are any remaining issues which could be expedited by a telephone conference, the Examiner is courteously invited to telephone counsel at the number indicated below.

§Appl. No. 10/049,442
Amdt. dated July 8, 2004
Reply to Office Action of, January 8, 2004

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard M. Lebovitz, Reg. No. 37,067
Attorney for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO
& BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Telephone: (703) 243-6333
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: **MERCK-2794**

Date: July 8, 2004